The Mint of the United States at Philadelphia,

Mr. Abelohen, Superintendent's Office, 93 E. J. March, Minn.:

September 24 1894

The Depoil from A John Mas ordered 25-- mellet by the Anager The fait melting not being homogeneous a comet away with not to reporter, The mellie was adoud to use a se fining flux in the second melting . The average finences after The find melling has been reported by letter to the Deportion also The actual Array as reported after The second melling, The slight difference refuled in our letter of the 17 mis purs that the removal of bore from preciono metals is done at the ment With--out a los to the Laporitor, Mr. John makes the Julie Statement that the mint nine was a to fining you are evidently uninformed the flux in melling, he so symmant of our system of melling, as the Brennent pays only too the Gold or Silve Londained in the Definit. The might hake

RG104 E-1 Box 187 This orfait and Extract all of the base metal and or fort ham 4 to ogs after melling, a further loss of 3 000 That being the actual Myth of Juciono metal contained in the Deforit and all The opinion is faid for. Me & her chains to have look 50. in this one transaction, Me death, what for you Jain for his goth but that he our satisfaction the value of it There is no don'the The thin acks for a Littlement of the Balance no the only los to him is the true metal thrown If and That has no value, it is cufur fluous to Lay That The other has been full faid . Dereuth please find rapid enclosed by your Referfully

Hon Engine Townsend Superintendent

Jun Obs Sinter

Heigh ofenh

[Please note, the original document has numerous hand-written editing notes. Please see original image for marked-out texts.]

The Mint of the United States at Philadelphia, Superintendent's Office, September 24, 1894

Mr. Abe Cohen 93 E. 7th Street, St. Paul, Minn.

Sir.

The Deposit from you was value remelted by the Assayer. The First melting not being homogenous a correct assay could not be reported, the melter was ordered to use a refining flux in the second melting. The average fineness after the first melting was reported by letter to you – also the actual Assay as reported after the second melting. The slight difference reported in our letter of the 17 inst. Yours that the removal of base from precious metals is done at the mint without a loss to the depositor.

You make the positive statement that the Mint never uses a refining flux in melting. You are evidently uninformed the system of melting, as the Government pays only for the gold or silver contained in the deposit. The Mint might take any deposit and extract all of the base metal and report you 4.56 ozs after melting, a further loss of 3.53 ozs, that being the actual weight of precious metal contained in the deposit and all the depositor is paid for.

You claim to have lost \$50, in this one transaction. We are unaware of what you paid for your gold but that you overestimated the value of it. This is no doubt. While you ask for a settlement of the balance, the only loss to him is the base metal thrown off, and that has no value, it is superfluous to say that you have not been fully paid. Herewith please find papers enclosed by you.

Very Respectfully, Your obt. servant, John Z. Jones Weigh Clerk

Hon Eugen Townsend Superintendent